

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,300	01/20/2006	Masaaki Tanizaki	ASAM.0183	7090	
a l Pr'd	7590 03/05/2008		EXAM	INER	
	Stanley P Fisher Reed Smith Hazel & Thomas			TANG, SIGMUND N	
3110 Fairview Park Drive Suite 1400 Falls Church, VA 22042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/565,300	TANIZAKI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sigmund Tang	2612		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUM (36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) and cause the application to become	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final. nce except for formal n		e merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 11-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11-35 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the Replacement	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected  drawing(s) be held in abe  ion is required if the drav	to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CF		
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of Claims

1. In the amendment(s) received on 20 December 2007, claims 1-10 have been cancelled without prejudice; Claims 11-35 were added. Claims 11-35 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 11-13, 20, 21, 23-25, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated over Moroto et al, US Patent No 5,121,326.

Re Claims 11-13, 20, 21, 23-25, 32, and 33, Moroto discloses a summarized map (Moroto, Figure 4(a); Column 6, Lines 13-49) providing guidance (Moroto, Figure 4(a), "dotted line") along a course in a local area by setting a designated point of departure such as the present position of a vehicle (Moroto, Figure 4(a), "arrow") to a destination (Moroto, Figure 4(a), "Circled X").

Moroto further discloses a summarization degree (Moroto, Column 2, Lines 45-55; "scale ratio") that is set in conformity with the distance between the present position of a vehicle to a destination. Moroto further discloses a detecting means of the present position of a vehicle and calculates present position by means of an inputs, steering

angle, and information from a range finder (Moroto, Column 9, Lines 12-34). Note: The labeling of a certain map to be a "summarized map" has no bearing on patentability since there is no claimed contrasting of sizes between said summarized map and another map.

A priority is set to *limit* the number of roads and elements displayed based on a summarization degree corresponding to the distance from the present position of a vehicle to a destination. Moroto discloses setting a scale ratio for displaying a greater or smaller range of a map (Moroto, Figure 2) of items and *ranks* roads (Moroto, Figure 3; Column 5, Lines 53-65) in a priority to be displayed wherein major roads are prioritized before minor roads (Moroto, Figure 3; 'Ranks 1 or 2' [Main Roads], 'Ranks 3 or 4' [Branch Roads]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 14-18, and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moroto et al, US Patent No 5,121,326 in further view of Katou et al, US Patent No. 6,006,161.

Re Claims 14-18, 26-29, Moroto fails to disclose a two-display system. Katou discloses an invention in a similar field of endeavors wherein two screens are used in a vehicle navigation system. Katou discloses a two-screen mode (Katou, Figure 5b)

10/565,300

Art Unit: 2612

wherein a right screen is in a form of a "present-location" map screen (local plane map) wherein the present position of a vehicle is shown with surrounding objects wherein the left screen is used for displaying maps of greater ranges such as a city map or a schematic diagram (Katou, Column 7, Lines 13-20). This specific teaching (Katou, Figure 5b) shows having both the different display forms of a "summarized display" and a "road map". A person ordinarily skilled in the art would combine the teachings of Katou and Moroto for the benefit of recognizing a positional relationship of a present vehicle location to a destination with divided screens.

Re Claims 19, 30, and 31, Moroto already provides the teaching of a variable contraction scale (scale ratio) set in conformity to the summarization degree of the distance between a present location of a vehicle to a destination, as explained in **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**. One ordinarily skilled in the art would utilize said Moroto's teaching and applied to any navigational map like a local area map for the purpose simplifying the display and making it easier for a driver of the vehicle to ascertain the course while driving (Moroto, Column 3, Lines 10-22).

6. Claims 22, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moroto et al, US Patent No 5,121,326 in further view of Nakayama et al, US Patent No. 5,732,385.

Re Claims 22, 34, and 35, Moroto discloses a scale ratio of the range of a map dependant upon the distance between a present position of a vehicle and a destination is *silent* on having said scale ratio dependant upon vehicle speed. However, Moroto fails to disclose the limiting of displayed elements based upon a vehicle's speed.

Application/Control Number:

10/565,300

Art Unit: 2612

Nakyama discloses an invention in the same field of endeavors as Moroto of a vehicle navigation system. Nakayama discloses the teaching of varying contract scale ratios can be displayed according to the vehicle's speed (Nakayama, Column 8, Lines 11-18; Column 13, Lines 30-36). A person ordinarily skilled in the art would combine the teachings of Katou and Moroto for the benefit of having an accurate map display system.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in 7. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP this Office action. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sigmund Tang whose telephone number is 571-270-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30-4:30.

Application/Control Number:

10/565,300

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Davetta Goins can be reached at 571-272-2957. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ST

17 February 2008

DAVETTAW. GOINS
BRIMARY EXAMINER